

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,224	07/24/2003	William O. Camp JR.	9314-45	4546
544 4 7590 05/27/2009 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. P.O. BOX 37428			EXAMINER	
			YUN, EUGENE	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/626,224 CAMP, WILLIAM O. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit EUGENE YUN 2618 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3.7-10.12-16.19-22 and 29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,7-10,12-16,19-22 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/626,224

Art Unit: 2618

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 9, 10, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmusson (WO 00/74350) in view of Park (US 6,166,667).

Referring to Claim 9, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising:

a Bluetooth module that is configured to communicate first information with a remote Bluetooth device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10);

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2).

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to convolutionally encode the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively convolutionally encode the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. Park teaches a processor that is configured to convolutionally encode the second

Art Unit: 2618

information for transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively convolutionally encode the first information (see col. 8, lines 35-39) according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 8, lines 15-20 noting that the communication mode is the turbo decoding mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Park to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the data quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 10, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising: a Bluetooth module that is configured to communicate first information with a

remote Bluetooth device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10):

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2).

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to interleave the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively interleave the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. Park teaches a processor that is configured to interleave the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively interleave the

Art Unit: 2618

first information (see col. 8, lines 53-60 noting that the interleaving is based on the selective convolutional encoding which makes the interleaving selective) according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 8, lines 15-20 noting that the communication mode is the turbo decoding mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Park to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the data quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 21, Rasmusson teaches a method of operating a wireless terminal, comprising:

Determining whether a remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10); and

Communicating first information to a remote Bluetooth device (see pg. 5, lines 11-32).

Rasmusson does not teach selectively convolutionally coding first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. Park teaches selectively convolutionally coding first information (see col. 8, lines 35-39) for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 8, lines 15-20 noting that the communication mode is the turbo decoding mode).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2618

invention was made to provide the teachings of Park to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the data quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 22, Rasmusson teaches a method of operating a wireless terminal, comprising:

Determining whether a remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10); and

Communicating first information to a remote Bluetooth device (see pg. 5, lines 11-32).

Rasmusson does not teach selectively interleaving first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. Park teaches selectively interleaving first information (see col. 8, lines 53-60 noting that the interleaving is based on the selective convolutional encoding which makes the interleaving selective) for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 8, lines 15-20 noting that the communication mode is the turbo decoding mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Komsi to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the data quality in variable rate communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,224
Art Unit: 2618

 Claims 3, 7, 8, 15, 16, 19, 20, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmusson (WO 00/74350) and Park and further in view of Jones et al. (US 6,879,600).

Referring to Claims 3 and 15, Rasmusson teaches a Bluetooth module (see pg. 15, lines 3-10).

The combination of Rasmusson and Park does not teach a processor that is configured to encode voice in the second information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec for transmission by the cellular transceiver, and to selectively encode voice in the first information using at least one of the EFR codec and the AMR codec for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication.

Jones teaches a processor that is configured to encode voice in the second information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec for transmission by the cellular transceiver (see col. 5, lines 38-42), and to selectively encode voice in the first information using at least one of the EFR codec and the AMR codec for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication (see col. 10, lines 8-14 noting that the voice is selective encoded as opposed to encapsulated). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Jones to the modified device of Rasmusson and Park in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 16, Jones also teaches encoding voice in second information using at least one of the EPR codec and the AMR codec according to the signal processing operation for transmission to a cellular network (see col. 5, lines 38-42).

Referring to Claims 7 and 19, Rasmusson also teaches the first information comprises audio information, and wherein the processor is further configured to cancel echo in the audio information (see pg. 17, lines 28-31) for communication by the Bluetooth communication module using a same signal processing operation that is used to cancel echo in audio information in the second information communicated by the cellular transceiver in response to the remote Bluetooth device supporting an enhanced communication mode (see pg. 16, lines 1-15).

Referring to Claims 8 and 20, Rasmusson also teaches the first information comprises audio information, and wherein the processor is further configured to reduce noise in the audio information (see pg. 16, lines 27-30) for communication by the Bluetooth communication module using a same signal processing operation that is used to cancel echo in audio information in the second information communicated by the cellular transceiver in response to the remote Bluetooth device supporting an enhanced communication mode (see pg. 16, lines 1-15).

Referring to Claim 29, Jones also teaches selectively encoding the first information by selectively embedding control data in the first information based on whether the remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 10, lines 61-66).

Art Unit: 2618

 Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmusson, Park, and Jones and further in view of Kim (US 2002/0065045).

Referring to Claim 12, the combination of Rasmusson, Park, and Jones does not teach the remote Bluetooth device comprising a cordless telephone base station that is configured to be connected to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) 60 (fig. 2), and wherein the processor is configured to communicate through the Bluetooth module with the cordless telephone base station. Kim teaches the remote Bluetooth device comprising a cordless telephone base station that is configured to be connected to a public switched telephone network (PSTN), and wherein the processor is configured to communicate through the Bluetooth module with the cordless telephone base station (see paragraph [0023]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Kim to the modified device of Rasmusson, Park, and Jones in order to better enhance the quality of short range communications.

Referring to Claim 13, Rasmusson also teaches the processor is configured to selectively embed control data in the first information based on whether the remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode, and wherein the control data comprises a command to control operation of the cordless telephone base station (see pg. 15. lines 11-32).

Referring to Claim 14, Kim also teaches the control data instructs the cordless telephone base station to terminate a call on the PSTN (see paragraph [0023]).

Application/Control Number: 10/626,224 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 7-10, 12-16, 19-22, and 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUGENE YUN whose telephone number is (571)272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Primary Examiner Art Unit 2618 Application/Control Number: 10/626,224 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

/Eugene Yun/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618 /E. Y./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618